SYSTEMATIC REVIEW ON AI IN SPECIAL EDUCATION: ENHANCING LEARNING FOR NEURODIVERSE STUDENTS

Article *in* International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research · January 2025

DOI: 10.36713/epra20360

1 author:

Dinesh Deckker
Wrexham University
20 PUBLICATIONS **6** CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 2| February 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW ON AI IN SPECIAL EDUCATION: ENHANCING LEARNING FOR NEURODIVERSE STUDENTS

Dinesh Deckker[1], Subhashini Sumanasekara[2]
ORCID - 0009-0003-9968-5934 / ORCID - 0009-0007-3495-7774
[1]Wrexham University, United Kingdom
[2]University of Gloucestershire, United Kingdom

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.36713/epra20360
DOI No: 10.36713/epra20360

ABSTRACT

Special education receives transformation through artificial intelligence (AI), which develops new solutions to improve educational outcomes among students with autism spectrum disorder (ASD), attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), dyslexia, and similar disabilities. AI-driven interventions undergo systematic evaluation to determine their implementation methods and advantages and disadvantages across assistive technologies, adaptive learning systems, and intelligent tutoring systems, as well as virtual and augmented reality (VR/AR) and gamification and AI-assisted communication tools. This research demonstrates how artificial intelligence creates individualized educational approaches with enhanced accessibility and helps neurodiverse students develop social-emotional skills.

The assessment recognizes multiple difficulties and constraints of AI implementations, including automatic system faults, privacy ethics attached to data collection, and teacher access and training issues. The limited involvement of neurodiverse people during AI tool development processes creates issues regarding diversity inclusion and notable performance gaps. Future research on the implementation of special education AI needs to focus on developing inclusive AI tools with participant design methods, lengthy assessments for analyzing impacts, considerations for different cultural needs, and affordable solutions that avoid inequality.

The proper use of AI, combined with solutions for the mentioned challenges, will establish constructive learning spaces that enable neurodiverse students to achieve their full potential.

KEYWORDS: *Artificial Intelligence in Education, Special Education Technology, Neurodiverse Learning Support, Adaptive Learning Systems, Inclusive Education Innovations*

1. INTRODUCTION

The review investigates the developing use of artificial intelligence (AI) in special education to evaluate its capacity for improving academic results for students with neurodiverse conditions. The field of neurodiversity includes autism spectrum disorder (ASD), attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), and dyslexia, together with several learning disabilities as defined by ZawackiRichter (2019), Chrysochoou (2022) and Dallman (2022). Students with neurodiverse conditions need modern educational solutions because standard education environments often present obstacles to their learning success. An existing literature review examines how AI-powered interventions handle the challenges that confront these groups of students and identifies their successful methods, theoretical and practical boundaries, and their ethical impact. The assessment includes multiple AI applications through evaluating student learning effects and mapping out research needs for the future.

1.1 OBJECTIVES OF THE REVIEW PAPER

The systematic review investigates how artificial intelligence (AI) serves special education purposes through its potential capabilities to improve student learning for neurodiverse learners. This study has two fundamental research objectives.

1. The research examines AI applications for special education using an analysis of assistive technologies, adaptive learning systems, intelligent tutoring systems (ITS), virtual and augmented reality (VR/AR), gamification, and AI-powered communication tools that serve students with learning disabilities, including ASD, ADHD, and dyslexia.
2. A review examines AI knowledge by measuring its influence on customized learning and expanded access as well as student attention levels and skill progression for neurodiverse students.
3. AI adoption in special education faces multiple challenges that researchers need to analyze through investigations of algorithmic bias. These include data privacy/security concerns, the costs of implementation systems, and the need for trained teachers and accessible solutions to enable widespread adoption of AI in special education practices.
4. To highlight ethical considerations and inclusivity in AI-driven education – Address the potential risks associated with AI, such as data security, fairness, and the

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 2| February 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

representation of neurodivergent individuals in AI tool development, ensuring that AI applications align with ethical and inclusive education practices.

5. Provide insights into long-term impact assessments, participatory AI design approaches, culturally sensitive AI tools, and cost-effective AI solutions that can bridge gaps and enhance the responsible integration of AI in special education.

By achieving these objectives, the review aims to contribute to the growing discourse on AI in special education and provide a foundation for future research, policy development, and practical applications that empower neurodiverse learners.

2. METHODOLOGY

This review followed a systematic approach to identify relevant studies. A comprehensive search was conducted across multiple databases, including PubMed, IEEE Xplore, Google Scholar, and others, using keywords such as "artificial intelligence," "special education," "neurodiversity," "assistive technology," "adaptive learning," "autism," "ADHD," and "dyslexia." The search was limited to peer-reviewed articles published in English from 2010 to 2024. Inclusion criteria focused on studies that directly investigated the use of AI in special education settings to improve learning outcomes for neurodiverse students. Studies lacking empirical data or focusing solely on theoretical frameworks were excluded. The selected studies were then critically appraised based on their methodological rigor, sample size, and the quality of evidence presented.

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

3.1 AI Applications in Special Education

Several AI applications show promise in enhancing learning for neurodiverse students. These include:

Assistive Technologies

Assistive technologies leverage AI to improve accessibility and support various learning needs. For example, AI-powered text-to-speech software can benefit dyslexic students by converting written text into audible format, improving reading comprehension (Goodman, 2022). Similarly, AI-based tools can assist students with writing difficulties by providing grammar and spelling checks, suggesting sentence structures, and generating text outlines (Goodman, 2022), (Lister, 2021). Smartglasses have been explored as a delivery mechanism for socio-emotional learning interventions for students with autism, improving verbal and nonverbal communication skills (Keshav, 2018), (Sahin, 2018). These technologies offer the potential for personalized support tailored to individual learning styles and challenges (Yenduri, 2023). However, the effectiveness of assistive technologies depends heavily on factors such as user-friendliness, teacher training, and adequate technical support (Keshav, 2018), (Yenduri, 2023).

Adaptive Learning Systems

AI algorithms embedded in adaptive learning systems assess student performance information before automatically readapting the learning curriculum and speed according to individual student requirements (Colchester, 2016). These systems assess learning gaps and deliver customized feedback and individualized learning routes that support neurodiverse students with their multiple learning paces and different learning styles (Colchester, 2016), (ZawackiRichter, 2019). Accurate student profiling that uses affective states and knowledge level information with personality traits creates essential conditions for successful educational systems (Colchester, 2016). Current challenges about accurate student profiling combined with the risks of algorithmic biases continue to be significant obstacles (Shams, 2023).

Intelligent Tutoring Systems

Anticipatory tutoring systems (ITS) use artificial intelligence to customize student instructions and deliver feedback according to their needs. Through ITS technology, students receive individualized tutorials that match their learning style and obtain instant feedback about their answers (ZawackiRichter, 2019). The individualized method of education shows substantial advantages for neurodiverse students since they require customized support (ZawackiRichter, 2019) and (Kamalov, 2023). The development of effective ITS demands both pedagogical principles analysis and awareness of neurodiverse student learning requirements (ZawackiRichter, 2019). Furthermore, the effectiveness of ITS depends on factors such as the quality of the instructional content and the user interface's design (ZawackiRichter, 2019).

Virtual and Augmented Reality (VR/AR)

Through VR and AR technologies, neurodiverse students can experience engaging interactive learning environments that retrieve and improve their learning success (Keshav, 2018; Sahin, 2018; Iannizzotto, 2020). Virtual reality generates real-life scenarios that enable students to master social behaviors through protected educational settings (Sahin, 2018; Keshav, 2018). AR enables users to view digital information that appears as an overlay in real-world environments, thus boosting student engagement (Familoni, 2024). Special education establishments encounter two significant obstacles to implementing VR/AR technology because of their high equipment costs and requirements for specific software and training practices (Familoni, 2024), (Iannizzotto, 2020).

Gamification and Game-Based Learning

Students become more motivated because game elements from gaming applications integrate with educational programs to enhance student participation. Minecraft gaming demonstrates through Zolyomi (2017) that it helps neurodiverse children learn social abilities. Artificial Intelligence systems implemented in game-based learning enable adjustable difficulty settings, dynamic performance checks, and process measurement (Yang, 2023). The accomplishment of gamified learning depends on multiple aspects, including game design and learning objectives, along with student engagement levels, according to research conducted by Zolyomi (2017) and generated by Yang (2023).

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 2| February 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

AI-assisted Email Writing Tools

Dyslexic students benefit from LaMPost, among other AI-assisted email writing tools that help them structure their thoughts, create effective subject lines, and rewrite texts (Goodman, 2022). These writing tools help dyslexics tackle their writing difficulties while enhancing their communication abilities and boosting their self-assurance (Goodman, 2022). According to Goodman (2022), the precision and operational effectiveness of such tools need thorough assessment.

AI-Powered Communication Support

For students with significant communication challenges, AI-powered eye-tracking technology can facilitate communication during remote rehabilitation and special education sessions (Iannizzotto, 2020). The software developed by (Iannizzotto, 2020) allows students with Rett syndrome, who cannot communicate verbally, to participate actively in sessions using eye-gaze tracking. This technology demonstrates the potential of AI to address the unique communication needs of sure neurodiverse students.

3.2 Challenges and Limitations

Adopting AI in special education faces hurdles that demand immediate focus because of excellent potential applications.

Ethical Considerations

Numerous ethical problems emerge when special education uses AI systems because they threaten student data privacy, produce biased outcomes, and dehumanize both humans and students, according to Shams (2023), Nguyen (2022), and (2022). Transparency in student data management practices, ethical decision-making, and regulatory compliance represent essential data protection requirements (Nguyen, 2022; Shams, 2023). AI systems will maintain and grow existing unfairness unless creators develop them carefully to ensure fairness and equity measurements (Shams, 2023). Using AI technology in education creates a problem because it weakens the individualized care needed by neurodiverse students when combined with excessive dependence on digital systems (2022).

Accessibility and Affordability

Since many Artificial Intelligence tools require expensive equipment and specialized infrastructure, they cannot reach resource-limited families and schools (Iannizzotto, 2020; Familoni, 2024). AI-powered interventions must achieve universal accessibility to ensure their complete implementation potential, as per Iannizzotto (2020) and Liu (2021).

Teacher Training and Support

Purposive implementation of AI technology in special education requires sufficient teacher training and continuous mentoring programs. Teachers require specialized training that teaches the effectiveness of AI technology usage and appropriate teaching methods (Leifler, 2020). Ongoing professional development opportunities should exist because AI education practices constantly change (Leifler, 2020).

Methodological Limitations

Numerous research studies about AI usage in special education show weak methodology through small participant groups and subjective measures and absent control groups (ZawackiRichter, 2019), (Sahin, 2018). Research designs with strict standards and evaluation methods must be applied to demonstrate the effectiveness of AI-powered intervention systems (ZawackiRichter, 2019), (Amonkar, 2021).

Lack of Neurodivergent Involvement

Many studies about AI solutions for neurodiverse populations encounter a major problem because neurodiverse persons have not actively been included in developing and accessing these tools (Spiel, 2022), (Elsherif, 2022). According to Lister (2021) and Spiel (2022), neurodiverse students require priority in design processes for developing AI solutions because their perspectives drive genuine effectiveness and inclusion.

4. KEY FINDINGS

AI Applications in Special Education

Assistive Technologies

AI-powered assistive technologies improve accessibility and support for neurodiverse students. Text-to-speech software enhances reading comprehension for dyslexic learners (Goodman, 2022). Writing assistance tools help students with writing difficulties by providing grammar checks, sentence structuring, and text generation (Goodman, 2022; Lister, 2021). Smartglasses have been used to support socio-emotional learning for autistic students, improving communication skills (Keshav, 2018; Sahin, 2018). However, user-friendliness, teacher training, and technical support impact the effectiveness of these technologies (Yenduri, 2023; Keshav, 2018).

Adaptive Learning Systems

Adaptive learning systems use AI algorithms to analyze student performance and adjust content dynamically. These systems identify knowledge gaps, provide targeted feedback, and create personalized learning paths (Colchester, 2016; ZawackiRichter, 2019). The success of adaptive systems relies on accurate student profiling, yet concerns over algorithmic bias persist (Shams, 2023).

Intelligent Tutoring Systems

AI-driven intelligent tutoring systems (ITS) provide personalized instruction and real-time feedback (ZawackiRichter, 2019). These systems enhance individualized learning, which is particularly beneficial for neurodiverse students requiring additional support (Kamalov, 2023). However, the effectiveness of ITS depends on pedagogical design and user interface quality (ZawackiRichter, 2019).

Virtual and Augmented Reality (VR/AR)

Immersive learning environments, such as VR and AR, improve engagement and learning outcomes for neurodiverse students (Keshav, 2018; Sahin, 2018; Iannizzotto, 2020). VR facilitates safe, controlled social skills practice for autistic learners (Sahin,

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 2| February 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

2018). AR overlays digital information to enhance learning experiences (Familoni, 2024). The high cost and need for specialized training limit widespread adoption (Familoni, 2024; Iannizzotto, 2020).

Gamification and Game-Based Learning

Gamification techniques enhance motivation and engagement through AI-integrated game mechanics. Studies indicate that games like Minecraft improve the social skills of neurodiverse youth (Zolyomi, 2017). AI-driven game-based learning adapts to challenges, provides feedback, and tracks progress (Yang, 2023). However, effectiveness depends on game design and alignment with learning objectives (Zolyomi, 2017; Yang, 2023).

AI-Assisted Writing Tools

AI tools like LaMPost assist dyslexic students in structuring ideas, generating subject lines, and refining text (Goodman, 2022). While beneficial, the accuracy and usability of such tools require careful assessment (Goodman, 2022).

AI-Powered Communication Support

For students with severe communication difficulties, AI-powered eye-tracking technology enhances participation in remote special education settings (Iannizzotto, 2020). Notably, eye-tracking software has allowed students with Rett syndrome to engage in learning despite verbal communication barriers.

5. DISCUSSION

Special education requires several critical recommendations for establishing responsible and effective AI integration. AI development needs to be ethical and inclusive while it focuses on student privacy protection and data misuse prevention and reduction of algorithmic biases. Automatically generated tools need to follow precise ethical frameworks that protect how data is acquired and maintain fair and transparent operation choices. Developers should implement protection against biases that impact neurodiverse students in their system design. The development of AI needs to be governed by specific rules made by policymakers and educators to stop students from depending too heavily on tech while preserving human teaching methods (Shams, 2023; Nguyen, 2022).

The accessibility of AI systems alongside their affordability functions as the main obstacles to the deployment of these systems in special education contexts. Schools and families who lack funding cannot afford to use these AI tools since they need specialized infrastructure to operate them. Educational institutions, together with governments, must spend money on affordability-focused AI solutions to give equal learning benefits to students who are neurodiverse. Programs that provide funding assistance for AI tools in disadvantaged communities should be established to enhance accessibility. Additionally, organizations should make AI tools available through open-source initiatives. The digital divide must receive attention because it ensures that all students, regardless of their economic status, can use AI-powered interventions, according to Iannizzotto (2020), Liu (2021), and Familoni (2024).

Implementing AI tools in special education requires investor support from professional trainers who will teach new approaches. Most educators need improved knowledge and skills to implement AI tools successfully in their educational practice. AI literacy training programs for educators must be established to help them learn appropriate uses of AI tools when helping students with neurodevelopmental differences. Continuous workshops and shared AI training activities must be available to maintain educator knowledge about AI developments and the best implementation strategies. The training programs must incorporate neurodiverse students as participants to validate that AI tools fulfill their authentic classroom requirements and experiences (Leifler, 2020; Lister, 2021).

The development of AI tools needs a participatory design method that requires the active involvement of neurodivergent individuals in evaluating and designing AI-powered solutions. Efforts should promote co-creation activities that allow special needs students to feed back their insights towards system development. Schools and AI developers must establish collaborative partnerships to develop AI applications that represent the actual learning needs of neurodiverse students. A strategic partnership between AI engineers and educators, psychologists, and students enables them to create accessible AI solutions that serve the learning needs of students (Spiel, 2022; Elsherif, 2022; Lister, 2021).

Research and development activities in special education AI applications need to progress so their long-term usefulness becomes established. Evaluating AI interventions on student development needs sustained longitudinal research design as the next step for future studies. Research organizations should conduct wide-range randomized trials to compare AI-based educational solutions to conventional learning techniques. AI models must receive training from multicultural data collections to deliver consistent results among various linguistic and socio-cultural environments. Researchers should focus on emerging AI technologies, particularly generative AI, to discover their applications in special education (Adgzel, 2023; Chisom, 2024; Zawacki-Richter, 2019).

Therefore, the development of unbiased AI software requires immediate attention from developers and educators working toward fairness goals. Testing protocols should exist to evaluate AI systems used in special education for their ability to avoid reinforcing discrimination between students. A collaborative effort among ethical specialists and both educational and AI technology professionals should create equitable AI systems that maintain fairness as their primary goal. An audit system that assesses ethical standards in AI-powered educational tools enables responsible evaluations before mass distribution (Shams, 2023; Nguyen, 2022).

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 2| February 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

Special education should employ artificial intelligence to reduce teaching workload and retain vital human dialogue for student support. Integrating AI tools in education should maintain an equilibrium between technological educational resources and individual student support for educators. The optimization of artificial intelligence systems should focus on automating basic administrative operations to enable teachers to maintain personalized teaching interventions by releasing their time from administrative responsibilities. Studies should explore if implementing AI solutions results in teacher exhaustion or creates less teacher anxiety when these systems advance teaching efficiency in special education classrooms (Zawacki-Richter, 2019).

A sophisticated development of artificial intelligence communication systems will boost educational opportunities for students facing severe communication limitations. Schools should develop AI assistive tools to enhance speech recognition and eye-monitoring features that benefit students who communicate through alternative methods. All accessible software systems and real-time translation tools designed for nonverbal learners should develop advanced features to promote inclusive capabilities. The advanced technologies enable Rett syndrome students to participate actively in their learning settings thus enhancing their classroom connection and participation (Iannizzotto, 2020; Familoni, 2024).

Provided AI systems should connect to social-emotional teaching methods to support diverse neurological student populations more effectively. Implementing AI-based emotional detection systems enables students to handle social relationships through real-time assessments of their social signals and emotional displays. The integration of gamification together with virtual reality features should establish dynamic social learning spaces focused on students with autism spectrum disorder. The evaluation of AI-based emotion analysis systems needs to consider student data protection and emotional data protection to protect individual privacy (Sahin, 2018; Keshav, 2018; Yang, 2023; Zolyomi, 2017).

Monitoring student well-being in AI-powered learning environments should be conducted through sustained assessments. Research must analyze how artificial intelligence programs affect student mental health, social-emotional development, and total learning process performance. The utilization of AI should include developing predictive systems that can identify learning difficulties to set personalized intervention processes. Developing ethical guidelines becomes essential to guarantee that AI monitoring techniques keep out of students' personal space while they solely improve academic achievements (Zawacki-Richter, 2019; Colchester, 2016; Nguyen, 2022).

Implementing AI represents a disruptive educational potential for neurodiverse learning outcomes but requires strategic planning and caution to execute successfully. The success of AI in special education depends on the ethical development of AI systems, better system accessibility, and trained educators, alongside participatory design and comprehensive research. Strategic solutions to algorithmic bias issues, teacher work-related problems, and student welfare initiatives will determine how AI benefits can outweigh potential risks. Focused innovation and proper collaboration and deployment will empower neurodiverse learners to achieve their maximum potential by creating an educational system that promotes inclusivity and adaptability alongside equity.

6. FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

AI in special education needs additional research in multiple specified domains. The complete evaluation of AI-powered educational interventions on student learning and development needs extended longitudinal research (Zawacki-Richter, 2019). According to Zawacki-Richter (2019), AI-powered interventions need to undergo large-scale randomized controlled trials to prove their effectiveness relative to traditional teaching methods. It is essential to create culturally adapted AI tools that understand different linguistic and cultural environments because these tools will improve inclusivity within special education programs (Chisom, 2024).

Balancing AI systems demands addressing biases because they usually unequally affect students with disabilities. Research must be conducted to create procedures that decrease algorithmic biases and preserve fairness across AI implementations (Shams, 2023). Neurodivergent individuals must take part in the complete development process of AI tools through participatory design approaches to achieve better effectiveness and inclusion (Lister 2021 & Spiel 2022).

AI integration in special education requires extensively trained educators, which will be made possible through enduring professional development programs, as suggested by Leifler (2020). Research needs to create affordable AI solutions that will let schools and families from every financial background use the technologies (Iannizzotto, 2020).

Researchers must study generative AI and other recent AI technologies for their educational value in special education applications (Adgzel, 2023; Forment, NaN). AI tools must minimize teacher workload because this frees up time for individual instruction, so researchers need to develop systematic assessments of AI benefits for teaching efficiency (Zawacki-Richter, 2019). The investigation of AI intervention's long-term impacts on student welfare needs to study social-emotional development and academic achievements (Zawacki-Richter, 2019) to maintain the ethical framework of AI educational applications.

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 2| February 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

7. CONCLUSION

Research indicates that AI technology has a promising potential to enhance student academic outcomes in the case of neurodevelopmental diversity. These multiple AI applications demonstrate positive capabilities to address individual learning barriers of students with neurodevelopmental diversity through adaptive learning systems, intelligent tutoring systems in combination with assistive technologies, virtual reality/augmented reality, and gamification systems. Special education needs to conduct complete assessments of ethical elements along with accessibility concerns, and teacher preparation needs to achieve responsible use of AI technology. Future research needs to comprehensively evaluate AI systems during their design process while engaging educational communities for tool development involving AI technology. AI technology provides the potential for transformative change by attempting to build educational solutions that serve all students equally. Teachers must apply AI technologies to extract the maximum possible benefits from neurodiverse students' talents.

8. REFERENCES

1. *ZawackiRichter, O., Marn, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). A systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education: where are the educators? Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0*
2. *Chrysochoou, M., Zaghi, A. E., & Syharat, C. (2022). Reframing neurodiversity in engineering education. Frontiers Media. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2022.995865*
3. *Dallman, A., Williams, K. L., & Villa, L. (2022). Neurodiversity-affirming practices are a moral imperative for occupational therapy. Western Michigan University. https://doi.org/10.15453/2168-6408.1937*
4. *Goodman, S. M., Buehler, E., Clary, P., Coenen, A., Donsbach, A., Horne, T. N., Lahav, M., MacDonald, R., Michaels, R. B., Narayanan, A., Pushkarna, M., Riley, J., Santana, A., Shi, L., Sweeney, R., Weaver, P., Yuan, A., & Morris, M. R. (2022). Lampost: design and evaluation of an ai-assisted email writing prototype for adults with dyslexia. None. https://doi.org/10.1145/3517428.3544819*
5. *Lister, K., Coughlan, T., Kenny, I., Tudor, R., & Iniesto, F. (2021). Taylor, the disability disclosure virtual assistant: a case study of participatory research with disabled students. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11100587*
6. *Keshav, N. U., Vahabzadeh, A., Abdus-Sabur, R., Huey, K., Salisbury, J. P., Liu, R., & Sahin, N. T. (2018). Longitudinal socio-emotional learning intervention for autism via smartglasses: qualitative school teacher descriptions of practicality, usability, and efficacy in general and special education classroom settings. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci8030107*
7. *Sahin, N. T., Abdus-Sabur, R., Keshav, N. U., Liu, R., Salisbury, J. P., & Vahabzadeh, A. (2018). Case study of a digital augmented reality intervention for autism in school classrooms: associated with improved social communication, cognition, and motivation via educator and parent assessment. Frontiers Media. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2018.00057*
8. *Yenduri, G., Kaluri, R., Rajput, D. S., Lakshmanna, K., Gadekallu, T. R., Mahmud, M., & Brown, D. (2023). From assistive technologies to metaversetechnologies in inclusive higher education for students with specific learning difficulties: a review. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2023.3289496*
9. *Colchester, K., Hagras, H., Alghazzawi, D., & Aldabbagh, G. (2016). A survey of artificial intelligence techniques employed for adaptive educational systems within e-learning platforms. Polish Neural Network Society, the University of Social Sciences in Lodz & Czestochowa University of Technology. https://doi.org/10.1515/jaiscr-2017-0004*
10. *Shams, R. A., Zowghi, D., & Bano, M. (2023). Ai and the quest for diversity and inclusion: a systematic literature review. Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-023-00362-w*
11. *Kamalov, F., Calonge, D. S., & Gurrib, I. (2023). New era of artificial intelligence in education: towards a sustainable multifaceted revolution. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151612451*
12. *Iannizzotto, G., Nucita, A., Fabio, R. A., Capr, T., & Bello, L. L. (2020). Remote eye-tracking for cognitive telerehabilitation and interactive school tasks in times of covid-19. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. https://doi.org/10.3390/info11060296*
13. *Familoni, B. T. & Onyebuchi, N. C. (2024). Augmented and virtual reality in u.s. education: a review: analyzing the impact, effectiveness, and future prospects of ar/vr tools in enhancing learning experiences. Fair East Publishers. https://doi.org/10.51594/ijarss.v6i4.1043*
14. *Zolyomi, A. & Schmalz, M. (2017). Mining for social skills: minecraft in home and therapy for neurodiverse youth. None. https://doi.org/10.24251/hicss.2017.411*
15. *Yang, Q., Lian, L., & Zhao, J. (2023). Developing a gamified artificial intelligence educational robot to promote learning effectiveness and behavior in laboratory safety courses for undergraduate students. Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00391-9*
16. *Nguyen, A., Ngo, H. N., Hong, Y., Dang, B., & Nguyen, B. T. (2022). Ethical principles for artificial intelligence in education. Springer Science+Business Media. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11316-w*
17. *(2022). Ai ethics and higher education : good practice and guidance for educators, learners, and institutions. None. https://doi.org/10.58863/20.500.12424/4146302*
18. *Liu, J. (2021). Bridging digital divide amidst educational change for socially inclusive learning during the covid-19 pandemic. SAGE Open. https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211060810*
19. *Leifler, E. (2020). Teachers'' capacity to create inclusive learning environments. Emerald Publishing Limited. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijlls-01-2020-0003*
20. *Amonkar, N., Su, W., Bhat, A., & Srinivasan, S. (2021). Effects of creative movement therapies on social communication, behavioral-affective, sensorimotor, cognitive, and functional participation skills of individuals with autism spectrum disorder: a systematic review. Frontiers Media. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2021.722874*
21. *Spiel, K., Hornecker, E., Williams, A., & Good, J. (2022). Adhd and technology research investigated by neurodivergent readers. None. https://doi.org/10.1145/3491102.3517592*

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 2| February 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

22. *Elsherif, M. M., Middleton, S. L., Phan, J., Azevedo, F., Iley, B. J., Grose-Hodge, M., Tyler, S. L., Kapp, S. K., Gourdon-Kanhukamwe, A., Grafton-Clarke, D., Yeung, S. K., Shaw, J. J., Hartmann, H., & Dokovova, M. (2022). Bridging neurodiversity and open scholarship: how shared values can guide best practices for research integrity, social justice, and principled education. None. https://doi.org/10.31222/osf.io/k7a9p*
23. *Chisom, O. N., Unachukwu, C. C., & Osawaru, B. (2024). Review of ai in education: transforming learning environments in africa. Fair East Publishers. https://doi.org/10.51594/ijarss.v5i10.725*
24. *Adgzel, T., Kaya, M. H., & Cansu, F. K. (2023). Revolutionizing education with ai: exploring the transformative potential of chatgpt. None. https://doi.org/10.30935/cedtech/13152*
25. *Forment, M. A., Garca-Pealvo, F., & Camba, J. (NaN). Generative artificial intelligence in education: from deceptive to disruptive. None. https://doi.org/10.9781/ijimai.2024.02.011*